JACC: CASE REPORTS VOL. 31, NO. 35, 2026

EDITORIAL COMMENT

A Clinical Mirage

The Peril of Improving Laboratory Markers in Meningococcal-Induced Cardiac Tamponade

Arda Özyüksel, MD

In this issue of *JACC: Case Reports*, Pagotan et al[1] describe a compelling case of immune-mediated meningococcal pericarditis progressing to cardiac tamponade despite apparent microbiological resolution and improving laboratory parameters. Beyond the rarity of such a presentation, what makes this report particularly instructive is the clinical dilemma it exposes. The case illustrates how improving inflammatory markers can create a false sense of reassurance while a concurrent and potentially life-threatening process continues to evolve within the pericardial space. This dissociation between laboratory recovery and hemodynamic deterioration highlights the need for continued clinical vigilance, even when therapeutic and laboratory trends appear favorable.

Neisseria meningitidis remains an important cause of invasive bacterial disease worldwide.[2] Although meningitis and septicemia are its most familiar clinical manifestations, cardiac involvement has long been recognized as an uncommon but potentially serious complication. Pericardial disease associated with meningococcal infection may occur in several forms, including disseminated meningococcal pericarditis, primary meningococcal pericarditis, and reactive immune-mediated pericarditis.[3] The present report belongs to the latter category, in which pericardial inflammation persists despite effective control of the underlying infection.

The most instructive aspect of this case is not the occurrence of cardiac tamponade itself. Similar cases have been described previously. Rather, the importance of the report lies in the paradoxical clinical trajectory observed during the patient's course. Following initiation of appropriate antimicrobial therapy, inflammatory indices improved, bacteremia was controlled, and the overall picture appeared reassuring. Yet, despite these favorable trends, the patient developed progressive hemodynamic instability caused by rapid pericardial fluid accumulation. Perhaps the most important lesson from this case is what might be termed a *clinical mirage*. In reactive meningococcal pericarditis, the clinical course may become uncoupled from the microbiological course of the disease. Consequently, successful eradication of bacteremia does not necessarily halt progression of pericardial inflammation. Once triggered, local inflammatory mechanisms within the pericardium may continue independently of the systemic infection that initiated them. Immune complex deposition, complement activation, and persistent capillary leak can drive substantial fluid accumulation even after microbiological control has been achieved.

The implications for clinical practice are considerable. Persistent hypotension in a patient apparently recovering from invasive meningococcal disease should prompt consideration of evolving tamponade, particularly when the clinical course is not explained by the severity of the underlying infection. In this setting, improving biomarkers should not be viewed as reassuring in isolation. Equally important is the role of serial imaging. Initial imaging studies may reveal only a small pericardial effusion and no evidence of tamponade physiology. Such findings can be falsely reassuring. As demonstrated in this case, the initial size of an effusion does not necessarily predict its subsequent clinical behavior. Importantly, the risk of tamponade is determined not only by the absolute volume of

From the Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul Health and Technology University, Istanbul, Turkey.
The author attests they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the author's institution and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the Author Center.

ISSN 2666-0849 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccas.2026.109324

pericardial fluid but also by the rate of accumulation, as rapid inflammatory fluid accumulation may produce critical elevations in intrapericardial pressure despite relatively modest effusion volumes. A normal or minimally abnormal initial echocardiographic examination should therefore not be considered reassuring in isolation, particularly when the patient's hemodynamic status evolves unexpectedly. For this reason, echocardiography should be regarded not merely as a diagnostic modality but as an essential surveillance tool in patients with meningococcal pericardial involvement. Repeat imaging becomes particularly important when the clinical course deviates from expectations. In many cases, the diagnosis of evolving tamponade will depend less on laboratory findings and more on timely reassessment of cardiac physiology.

Beyond meningococcal disease, this report highlights a broader principle relevant to contemporary cardiovascular practice. Although biomarkers provide valuable information regarding treatment response, they remain surrogate indicators of complex biological processes. Improvement in laboratory parameters does not necessarily equate to clinical recovery, and clinicians should remain alert when bedside physiology diverges from biochemical trends.

What makes immune-mediated meningococcal pericarditis particularly dangerous is not simply the effusion itself, but the impression that the patient is recovering while a second pathological process continues to evolve. Recognition of this paradoxical pattern may be lifesaving and should prompt early reassessment before overt tamponade physiology becomes established.

FUNDING SUPPORT AND AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

The author has reported that he has no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr Arda Özyüksel, Istanbul Health and Technology University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Sütlüce Mah, Imrahor Cad, No:82, Istanbul 34445, Turkey. E-mail: arda.ozyuksel@istun.edu.tr.

REFERENCES

1. Pagotan CRM, Meknat A, Ganesh A, et al. Rapidly progressive immune-mediated meningococcal pericarditis presenting as cardiac tamponade. *JACC Case Rep*. 2026;31(35):109105.

2. Louie G, Torres R, Katelaris AL, et al. Meningococcal B septic shock, reactive pericarditis and public health. *Med J Aust*. 2023;219(4):150–152.

3. Finkelstein Y, Adler Y, Nussinovitch M, et al. A new classification for pericarditis associated with meningococcal infection. *Eur J Pediatr*. 1997;156(8):585–588.

KEY WORDS echocardiography, hemodynamics, inotropes, pericardial effusion, tamponade